Senate Meeting Minutes

14 October 2019

21:07

**Senate Agenda**

1. Welcome & Sign-In
2. Approval of Last Meeting's Minutes
3. Committee Update & Retreat Debrief
4. Exec Board Debrief
5. Funding Request: Sparkathon
6. Deep Dive: Ticket Distribution Practices
7. Open Forum

**Minutes**

1. **Call To Order**
	1. 9:07pm
2. **Approval of Last Week’s Minutes**
	1. Seconded
3. Committee Updates
	1. AAA
		1. Met and brainstormed projects and funding
		2. How to streamline resolutions and amendments
			1. Making this information more accessible
		3. AAA Master Spreadsheet
			1. Funding Resources
			2. Office Hours
	2. EAC
		1. Sustainability Committee meeting
		2. Going over proposals
			1. Doing a movie
			2. Utensils
			3. Powerdown CMC Event
			4. Water bottle filling stations
				1. More accessible
	3. SEC
		1. Friday retreat
		2. Planning/Working On
			1. Focus Groups
				1. Please apply: link in email
			2. Social media campaigns
				1. Jon Joey and Emanual
			3. Revamping the Instagram/YouTube channel
	4. CIC
		1. Retreat Updates
		2. Priorities
			1. Menstrual Hygiene Products
			2. Study Space Guide
			3. Hammock Lounge?
		3. Collaboration with other committees
			1. SEC
		4. Physical v. non-physical projects
			1. THEY ARE NOT A SECOND STORY HOUSE
			2. Doesn’t necessarily meaning changing the infrastructure
		5. NEW MEMBERS
	5. Senators at the Senate Retreat
		1. Limiting the Exec Board influence
			1. They have their own opportunity to debate and deliberate on the topics before ours
			2. They are two separate governing bodies
			3. Breaking their speaking time down to the various types of discussions
				1. Allowing for debriefs
				2. Can only speak when asked to (unless at Town halls, symposiums and deep dives, in which their participation will be limited)

They do have unique perspectives and insights

* + - 1. Exceptions being Bryan and Christie’s positions
		1. Implementing this tonight
			1. Exec Board should respect our wishes, without us having a written rule
			2. Pursuing actually written rule
				1. Operating procedures
1. **Executive Board Debrief**
	1. Introduction and Titles
		1. Dina President, has a vote
		2. Cindy Sophomore President, has a vote
		3. Johnson Junior Vote
		4. Diversity and Inclusion Chair and has a vote
		5. Lahleh has a vote senior president
		6. Colin Sam, Freshman President, Votes
		7. Campus Activity Chair, has a vote
	2. Debrief
		1. ASCMC will have a relationship with Advocates in a very formal way
		2. Monte Carlo Chat next week
			1. Waiting on Senate
		3. Website has been updated
		4. Special thanks to Johnson for Monte Carlo
2. **Funding Request: Sparkathon**
	1. Students coming together to solve a problem
	2. Sponsored by Google
	3. Expecting 400-500 students
		1. Want 1,400 from Senate
		2. Expecting an increase in CMC participation 60-100 students
			1. Increased marketing and student participation projections
	4. Would like more funding to make the event better
		1. Money would go towards food
	5. CMC students won the Sparkathon last year
	6. Budget for last year
		1. Paid for last years events (one in spring and one in fall)
			1. All in Full
	7. Funding from other schools: $700 from scripps, $750 mudd, $500 keck
	8. Total budget is around $14,000
	9. Pomona participation projection?
		1. Most coming from Pomona and CMC (30% from Pomona)
		2. 500 max, 450 estimated
	10. Want to mitigate as much waste as possible
3. **Senate Discussion on Sparkathon Funding**
	1. $300 increase from both events last year
	2. If they didn’t have the money, they would “figure it out”
	3. A good opportunity for students
	4. If they didn’t fund this in full, they could cap CMC student participation in the future
	5. Could we fund them based on the proportion of CMC students?
		1. Yes
	6. Is 40 more CMC people accurate?
	7. Senate should support this organization through funding
	8. If we have “wiggle room”, why not spend it?
	9. Renburcements can work easily
4. **Motions**
	1. Fund in Full: 24 in favor, 6 against, 1 abstention
	2. Motion to fund $1000, then extra $100 for every additional 10 CMC students with a cap of 100 CMC students ($1,400): 10 in favor, 18 against, 5 absentions
5. **Sparkathon Funded in Full**
6. **Deep Dive: Ticket Distribution Practices**
	1. Johnson
		1. Monte Carlo was FREE
		2. Last year, sold out on the last shift
		3. This year, they sold out after 2 days
		4. Ticket allocation was based on funding and previous attendance
			1. CMC: 800
			2. Pomona: 100
			3. Pitzer: 80
			4. Mudd: 25
			5. Scripps: 70
			6. Guest: 45
		5. Expanded the event capacity (cap of 1,200 people)
	2. Wedding Party is also a ticketed event
	3. Free Ticketing
		1. Opening it up at 11 means a lot of people don’t have access
		2. Table
		3. Senior priority?
	4. What is the relationship between student fee increase and is there a way to track those who actually come to Monte Carlo?
		1. Student Fee increased due to a lot of factors
			1. Not a direct correlation
		2. Creation of an endowment
	5. A champagne opener or different bottles
	6. Guest wristbands
		1. Increasing an amount?
		2. Ticketing?
	7. Logistically keeping people at 1200
		1. Dean of Students made the limit
	8. Prospies at Monte Carlo?
		1. Turned away the entire preview program
		2. They should not be at the event
		3. Athletic prospies??
	9. Can’t control the wristband blackmarket
	10. Party Access fund
		1. Paying to decrease demand
		2. Fund will pay for those who cannot pay
	11. Guest passes
		1. More transparency
		2. Guests can’t have alcohol
	12. Wedding Party
		1. Expanding capacity?
		2. Capacity is higher than Monte Carlo
	13. Technically making it a CMC event and increasing guest passes
7. **Adjourn**
	1. Seconded