EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING

> ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF CLAREMONT MCKENNA COLLEGE



ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF CLAREMONT MCKENNA COLLEGE

EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING

February 27, 2022

Officer	Position	Present/Absent
Katherine Esther Almendarez	President	Present
Sam Harrison	Executive Vice President	Present
Cindy Lay	Chief Ethics and Procedural Officer	Present
Chris LaRovere & Daniel Hayon	Interim Vice President of Student Activities	Present
Jon Joey Telebrico	Vice President of Campus Organizations	Present
Desmond Mantle	Chief Financial Officer	Present
Devanshi Guglani	D&I Chair	Absent
Peyton Ogasawara	Comptroller	Present
Caroline Bullock	Chief Operating Officer	Present
Addison Reddinger	Class of 2025 President	Present
Zane Yamamoto	Class of 2024 President	Present
Amari Huang	Class of 2023 President	Present
Sobechukwu Uwajeh	Class of 2022 President	Present
Christina Campbell	Dormitory Affairs Chair	Present
Josh Nagra	Presidential Advisor on Mental Health	Present
Peter Dien	Presidential Advisor on Divestment	Present
Adamaris Sanchez	Presidential Advisor on Low Income Student Advocacy	Absent



Anna Green	RA Liaison	Absent	
Eric Warmoth	RA Liaison	Present	

Senate Chairs Attendance

Senate Officer	Position	Present/Absent
Michael Gadinis	AAA Committee Chair	Present
Nicole Jonassen	Environmental Affairs Committee Chair	Absent
Sam Bogen	Academic Affairs Chair	Present
Kimi Adler	Community Service Chair	Present
Tyler Craigwell	Student Life Chair	Present

Agenda

- 1. Welcome
- 2. Approve 2/20 Minutes
- 3. Updates
- 4. Events Debrief (100 Days, TNC, Midquad Carnival)
- 5. Funding Request
- 6. Lines of Communication
- 7. Amendments by AAA
- 8. Open Forum

I. Welcome

Call the meeting to order at 7:16 pm Pacific Time.

II. Approval of Minutes:

Motion to approve the minutes: Desmond Mantle Seconded: Zane Yamamoto Minutes have been approved.



III. Executive Officer Updates

Katherine: Does anyone have any updates?

President: Katherine Esther Almendarez	
EVP: Sam Harrison	I'm retiring!
CEPO: Cindy Lay	Elections
VPSA: Chris LaRovere & Daniel Hayon	
CFO: Desmond Mantle	
D&I: Devanshi Guglani	
VPCO: Jon Joey Telebrico	
Comptroller: Peyton Ogasawara	
COO (VOO): Caroline Bullock	Help me "i love you caroline" - michael
Class of 2024 President: Zane Yamamoto	
Class of 2023 President : Amari Huang	
Class of 2022 President: Sobechukwu Uwajeh	
Class of 2025 President: Addison Reddinger	
Presidential Advisor on Mental Health: Josh Nagra	



Presidential Advisor on Low Income Student Advocacy: Adamaris Sanchez	
AAA Committee Chair: Michael Gadinis	
Environmental Affairs Committee Chair: Nicole Jonassen	
Academic Affairs Chair: Sam Bogen	
Community Service Chair: Kimi Adler	
Student Life Chair: Tyler Craigwell	
RA Liaison: Anna Green and Eric Warmoth	
DAC Chair: Christinia Campbell	
Presidential Adviser on Divestment: Peter Dien	

IV. Events Debrief (100 Days, TNC, Midquad Carnival)

<u>Christina:</u> Mid Quad carnival was a success! Thank you for all those that helped! Everyone loved it! Only issue was with the funnel cake company, there was too much funnel cake, which I handled!

<u>Chris:</u> Last night we partnered with BSA and Quantum. The bottom line was we were unequipped and not expecting the amount of people that showed up. We had to prematurely shut it down because there were too many people. That led to a potentially unsafe event.

<u>Rachel</u>: Last night was a shit show. I don't want to put that on any club specifically, everyone was receptive to the need to shut it down. We ended up having more lifeguards come down around 11:30. There was absolute chaos, people up on ledges, glass broken, people not listening and being disrespectful. Our advocates were cursed out, shoved, and had drinks poured on them. We did a lot of work that campus security should have. We did not have enough water. It was very unsafe and I was



pushing for the party to be shut down. Our advocates meeting determined that we need more support from the institution and corporation. We are unsure if we will have lifeguard 5c parties here on out. Daniel and Chris, you were very helpful last night. I cannot speak on behalf of our advocates and what they are willing to do in the future.

<u>Daniel</u>: That event was not supposed to be like that. It was only 5c for BSA students from other colleges to enjoy the party. We were not equipped to handle what was going on, I don't think CampSec needed to be running the show, but they were not doing much to help us out either.

<u>Sobe</u>: Traditionally, pre-pandemic, when any of the 5c BSA's have parties, usually only BIPOC students from the 5c's attend. It definitely was way way way bigger than expected. I think this was an anomaly, and it shouldn't be regular. We did not expect the number of white students to have been in attendance. I would not mind communicating that this was an anomaly. Thank you to those that helped out, and we apologize for all the unsafety.

<u>Rachel</u>: We did have the sense that it was an anomaly. We were shocked by the behavior we saw. Over the past year we have seen more concerning behavior in parties. Mosh pits are unsafe, I don't remember moshes at events previous to the pandemic. Lots of people are concerned about their physical safety. It is a rarity, but it still happened.

<u>DT</u>: It is very encouraging that the tone of this conversation is investigative. I am grateful for Chris and Daniel and the advocates for stepping up. There is no way to have predicted how it turned, because of that the staffing was inadequate, but not poorly calculated. It really gave us something to think about. We had a new on call dean who was trying to be more independent. I want to acknowledge the toll it took on the advocates, the RAs and ASCMC. It is not okay that events have turned into hedonistic free for alls. We have had two in the past week- 100 days. The number of people kicked out, the chaperones that were drinking, the bus company. Normative behavior is really skewed because of so many students that have not been here. If we are not intentional about redefining it, this will be the new norm. One thing we need to address is disrespect. We need to reclaim our levels of respect for all. We need to focus on safety- glass, crowds, injuries, alcohol. Planning needs to be a focus as well. More security, ID checks, double gating. Big events in between the towers is not a great idea. I don't want to shut anything down, but just brainstorm how we can continue to provide freedoms without destroying and injuring our students.

<u>Tyler</u>: The event was not ideal. Thank you to everyone helping out. We thought there was communication about it being 5c, but in terms of communication, it was a Saturday party, I think it was inevitable that there was going to be a lot of students there. It is a bit naive to say that we did not have access to resources.

<u>Sam B</u>: I want to echo DT's point in the chat about Yikyak. When we have expected a large crowd we have done a good job with security, it is when we haven't been expecting a big crowd is when we see a



problem. When we are having smaller events, there is more and more turnout than expected. A focus needs to be pushed towards smaller events that are no longer on a smaller scale.

Katherine: Looking at more wristband events, or making the security more helpful.

<u>Amari</u>: There were not enough barricades, I don't think there was a walk through from Brian.

Sobe: A plan was sent to Brain earlier in the week.

<u>Daniel</u>: An explanation for the behavior may be a product of not being able to do anything for the past few weeks. We have unleashed a beast. I don't know if the response is to have less or more parties. It is not an excuse. The situation was crazy. In terms of increasing security, the events team does not have the budget to pay for a ton of security each weekend. It doesn't make sense to spend all our budget on security. CMC does not have to cater the party life for all 5cs.

<u>Eric</u>: I was really noticing that for every 5c student I saw, there was a whole understanding that all of a sudden since 5c dining was open, all parties were 5c as well. I saw 5c students taking things from our dorms and running. Most disrespectful behavior I saw was from other 5c students. The RAs and I were not told that there were going to be a lot of 5c presence at that party.

<u>Celeste/Rachel</u>: There was an event a couple thursdays ago at Wolf that many PP students joined. When the host tried to shut it down the students were threatening the host. Maybe Campsec needs to step in more? Students were yelling at the students shutting down parties. Other students do not know the credentials that Advocates and ASCMC have. Other advocate groups do not lifeguard other campus parties. I don't think there is a situation in which parties should not check IDs. We heard there were high school students, and older townsfolk as well. That creates so many other dangers and liabilities for our students. ID checks need to happen at every party. Thank you for being so receptive. We are trying to support our advocates as best we can.

<u>Zane</u>: I feel like there has been a sense of entitlement to party. There is disrespect at all 5c students. Scripps dining is being disrespected. Lack of disrespect and immense disregard.

<u>Katherine</u>: I am meeting with the other 5c presidents and I will outline expectations. Thank you to everyone who was there helping and working, as well as Quantum and all those involved. Let's now shift our focus to 100 days.

<u>Desmond</u>: The ticketing was a bit of a disaster, the demand was not met. At the venue, the elevator was a bit problematic, there was a major crowd surge, and I was pushed against a door. At the event, I cared for many sick students. There was someone puking on the bus on the way there, the driver said there was more than cleaning damages, that I took as a threat to ban us from that company. It was hard because I witnessed people needing transports.



<u>Sam B</u>: Desmond, Amari, and I were not trained to handle that situation. Things that happened were out of control. I have never had to deal with someone being transported, it was nerve wracking and hard to deal with students who were beyond intoxicated. They were physically pushing back against us. We did not have the supplies to help students. Even if we can't bring outside beverages, we cannot compete with the bar to help students get water. That encouraged bad drinking behaviors. Because it was an off-campus event, I don't think students returned to campus immediately. 25% of students left early or were kicked out. Very intoxicated students walking around downtown LA. Exit situation was a mess. Students were fighting students.

Katherine: The staff of the location were very rude, and it was initiated by managers and staff.

<u>Amari</u>: I would have wanted more expectations as chaperones, having some knowledge would have helped. We had no point of contact with the club or the bus company. I did not want to bother any of the seniors. I am teal dot trained, but I felt inadequately trained to help in many situations. There is a reason that advocates did not come to the event, but some communication needs to happen between chaperones and the hosts. That was a difficult responsibility that none of us were trained for, but was important for student safety.

<u>Eric</u>: I think we should have had RAs on call at that event. We could have worked with the chaperones. We were told that there was a priority to have RAs on campus to be on call for on campus issues. It would have been helpful to have RAs on call at that event as that is what we are trained to do on campus. Just to help coordinate a response could have been helpful.

<u>Chris</u>: As someone who was at the party, the security and bouncers were very out of line, very aggressive, and very rude to many students. They swore at students, laughed at students, and were out of line. They left us on the streets of LA. They were talking about respecting the club but not respecting us. Reviews had told us many people had poor experiences with that security.

<u>Katherine</u>: In regards to security, Sobe and I had a conversation with them in the beginning, but it seemed that they were missing a lot of information. They were promoting unsafe behaviors, they did not let sobered students return, and they were very upset. I wish they would have told us their protocols about kicking students out. They did not communicate a plan for drunk kicked out students. They were aggressive and I was left upset and appalled. Every ASCMC member should be TealDot trained at the beginning of every term.

<u>Peter</u>: Chaperoning was tough. As a freshman, I had no grounds for seniors to listen to me. There were a lot of situations where students were really drunk. Sobe could not have prevented students getting really drunk. In moments where students were injured while drunk, chaperones did not know what to do. The chaperone side could have been a bit more chill, from my experience, I was chilling with the bus driver, and every time the communication with the security guard was not adequate. We were



confused and untrained. For the most part we all tried to help each other and do our best.

<u>DT</u>: A lot of this conversation has centered on the precautions that were taken for extraordinarily drunk students. The repercussions that students face from the clubs and other businesses are those that our students will face in the future when they are in the real world. We send seniors off campus because we believe that they are mature enough. There were issues with the club, but we need to acknowledge drugs in the bathroom, a potential loss in contract with transportation, many students being kicked out, I am grateful for the thoughtfulness, but I am really thinking about Vegas. The work of the chaperones was amazing, I am so grateful for you. I did hear that some of the chaperones were drinking. That is very counterproductive. Moving forward, we must do this in a smart way so the responsibility falls on the participants, not the chaperones trying to take care of the students/participants.

Annette: Why were there chaperones on this trip?

<u>Sobe</u>: There are always chaperones for off campus parties especially when there is drinking involved. I consider them volunteers, they help with decor, and other things. Thank you for your feedback, I will give it to the next senior class president. I am sorry for the unclear expectations, I could have delegated responsibilities more. 200 days was very far from this situation, I did not expect it, it shouldn't have happened, and I am disappointed in my class. In regards to drink tickets, there were enough for students who bought them, it was communicated that it was only able to be bought before. The club said that we could not bring in water. It was hard to find an outside venue to fit our situation. The bus company did not give us a phone number or line of communication. I cannot control students who did not get on the bus. I agree with DT that a lot is to blame with the Senior Class and I take responsibility that I did not expect the rowdiness. Thank you for all your work, I apologize for everything that happened. I appreciate you guys and your time.

<u>Cindy</u>: On chaperones- it is a tough job to chaperone, it is not being there for seniors, it is managing the event. It might be difficult to ask current exec members to do that, we should brainstorm ways to compensate chaperones who helped out. I was sober nearly the whole event and I saw Sobe do all that she could. It was merely the individuals and their actions. It is really difficult to put this event together, I acknowledge all the work you put in Sobe, Thank you.

V. Funding Request

<u>Sobe:</u> I am requesting funding from \$135- \$60 for a gift to the volunteers of 100 days, excluding chaperones who drank at the function.

<u>Desmond</u>: The General Fund is currently at \$0 because of our motion last week, but this will technically put the general fund in the red. We could also have this be considered from the senate budget. There is no reason to be worried about putting the general fund in the red, but we could also



pass this on a stipulation.

Katherine: Solution! Christina offered to take it out of her discretion! Talk to Sobe about this!!

<u>Sobe</u>: I do want to run a straw poll on rewarding ASCMC members for their time. It is possible. I would like a poll. Majority moves to reward officers that volunteer for 100 days.

<u>Michael</u>: This will set a good precedent for paying advocates. For future boards, this is a good precedent.

VI. Lines of Communication

Tabled for Next Board.

VII. Amendments by AAA (14 Amendments)

AMENDMENT 1: REMOVAL OF AAA

<u>Michael:</u> This amendment gets rid of the AAA committee, it will redistribute responsibilities to the EVP. AAA is red tape and makes ASCMC non accessible. AAA does not make student life better. I think AAA is stupid, and so does my committee.

<u>Cindy</u>: What about AAA recommendation to the Senate.

<u>Michael</u>: I think lots of people just went with the AAA recommendation, also there has been a lot of deliberation with the senate this year. The EVP and CEPO can recommend and explain it.

<u>Zane</u>: I am worried about there being a free for all on the senate floor, I agree with making the funding simplified, but I am worried about the senate lacking a voice of recommendation.

<u>Sam H</u>: I am reconstructing the senate as well.

JI: The helpful parts are the calculative things. Is there going to be time for that in the Senate, not all senators are mathy. Is there a way it can be consolidated??

Katherine: The EVP can also have a Senate committee as well.

<u>Maya</u>: Why can we not keep it as a recommending body, something that oversees and overlooks.

<u>Michael</u>: We are a recommending body, we are merely trying to stop students from attending another meeting. This will leave us down one senate committee.



<u>Desmond</u>: I see this also as an issue of recruiting. AAA and twitter. Many of our board members started from the AAA committee. AAA is useful to recruit, an avenue that is good at preparing people for ASCMC. AAA was formative in making me a member. I understand the cost of attending another meeting.

DISCUSSION CONTINUED ON SLACK

AMENDMENT 2: SPLIT VPSA

<u>Daniel</u>: Split the VPSA role. The VPSA role is the planner, and the DIrector of Event Operations will oversee the operations of the smaller events, and the right hand man to the VPSA. DEO will be an Exec board non voting member.

AMENDMENT 3: CORPORATION STATEMENT AND CONSTITUTIONAL CLAUSE

JJ: Including equity into our corporation and our constitution alongside diversity and inclusion. This is just a statement, but could guide the next board to help our student body be more inclusive, diverse, and equitable. Empowering students, and additionally, on our non-discrimination statement I wanted to add the word caste which would be inclusive towards our south asian students on campus. This is proactive to affirm the belonging of south asian students.

AMENDMENT 4: APPLICATION OF NONDISCRIMINATION CLAUSE TO CLUBS

JI: When we go through constitutions, we must make sure that clubs look at their constitution and guidelines. We require clubs to have a nondiscrimination clause, this would require clubs to have our same nondiscrimination clause because they are a continuation of us and ASCMC.

<u>Katherine</u>: If the college allows the students to attend this institution, we should not discriminate against those students.

AMENDMENT 5: SPECIAL STIPENDS

<u>Katherine</u>: Some students are informally employed by ASCMC and deserve to be paid for their labor. Not exceed \$500 or equals the number of work hours times the minimum wage. We see this right now as Dorcas will be planning Alternative Spring Break. I capped it at \$500 because that is about 30 hours of work, and if they are working more they should become an officer. Having this written down about work will be useful for our record, especially for advisors and students not on payroll.

AMENDMENT 6: MENTAL HEALTH



<u>Josh</u>: This takes my position and makes it a position- Mental Health and Wellness Liaison. This will be an officer with a \$600 budget per semester.

Sam B: Non voting member of Exec. Numbering of officers may have to be changed.

<u>Kimi</u>: I am really happy that this is an amendment, and wish this was passed much earlier.

AMENDMENT 7: PRESIDENTIAL ADVISOR RESTRUCTURE

<u>Sam B</u>: Destroys Presidential Advisors and makes them ad hoc committees, the president can spend other funds on them, have more than 3 or less than 3, lots of presidential advisors have become permanent. Ad Hoc committees are more temporary as well.

<u>Cindy</u>: I personally did not get the chance to read this, this seems unnecessary. You don't need 3, they don't need to send emails. This would set the threshold for an advisor very high. It adds a lot of overhead that does not need to be managed.

<u>Desmond</u>: I am in support of this idea, because I think their influence should be more on the Senate side, because it is more of a Democratic body. Three advisors makes the president's voice much greater. This would avoid inequality. There is a cost in overhead.

<u>Josh</u>: I am a presidential advisor. Presidential's advisors allow the president to set precedent for things that are current that can become more permanent. Keeping themselves allows for the testing of new positions.

<u>Peter</u>: I am a presidential advisor too. I understand the point of increasing democratization of issues at hand, but I think the president's job is so important. The president establishes a vision, the advisors are there to push for that vision, thus it is democratic enough in that regard. It sets precedent, and tests how strong the president's vision is.

J: I agree with signaling sentiments and commitments. It is a scarce number, and shows 3 core issues. I agree a lot with Desmond's point. Advisors cannot serve as senators. We are trying to encourage long term senatorship. Advisors should be senators, and voice their opinions in the place of the people. The Senate is extremely underutilized and needs their perspective. We could strike the subclause 405 c.

<u>Katherine</u>: As president, presidential advisors don't always have a committee and the advisors are a nice way to address unforeseeable issues. As things continue to come up, it is important to bring up such issues. They are supposed to come to the senate. Ways to address things the board does not have the capacity to do so.

AMENDMENT 8: SENATE RESTRUCTURING



<u>Sam H</u>: Senate is not the voice of the people as it should be. Each dorm will have senators based on the population. This would solidify the senate body. It would hit every nook and cranny. Turnover would be every semester. This would diversify the ideology of the student body. The voting body would be the dorm senators, the senate chairs, senate secretary. Senate Chairs will be distinct from the dorm senators. 40-45 senators in attendance.

Eric: In committees, would the only students be able to be on committees Senators?

<u>Sam H</u>: I am flexible on this, open to changing this. It shouldn't be the dorm senators going to the senate, we want nonsenators coming stoking the fire and people still there talking about things.

<u>Desmond</u>: My concern is a level of engagement. I think having a vote is a good option for those who want a voice but don't want to go through the electoral process. This is a trade off through federalism and representative democracy.

<u>Sam H</u>: What if we call members who attend the Senate who cannot vote have a separate distinction.

<u>Michael</u>: AAA thought the elections for Dorm Senators should be before FYCP. Increase accountability in the senate and make our government function the way it should.

<u>Kimi</u>: Is there any other way for people to become voting members without going through the electoral process? I am concerned about discouraging people from attending. The committee members should be anybody! I don't like limiting accessibility.

<u>Maya</u>: I don't see a strong justification for how it should be based on dorms. How will this adjust for representation based on how you live? There is also concern for students who live off campus. Would they not have a voice. International students and transfer students are not guaranteed housing.

<u>Sam B</u>: I don't see how this changes class representation. Many dorm presidents are first years. I worry about mid-year room draw and changing dorms.

Michael: That is why turnover is by semester.

<u>Desmond</u>: There is a philosophical difference. Our senate is supposed to be a check on our Exec body. I am in favor of you showing up and demonstrating commitment gets you a right to vote. This is important. The model is unparalleled.

<u>Katherine</u>: I started off as a Senator and attended every senate. The Senate is amazing in theory, but it has not been executed well. The body fluctuates too much, I feel as if a lot stagnates.

Kimi: Is there a way to make a both and not either or. I like the dorm senator idea, but I do believe that



students who go to senate should receive a voting voice.

<u>Maya</u>: Basing it off of dorm and housing is an accessibility issue for transfer and international students. Look at other models. To Kimi's point I believe it is mutually exclusive.

<u>Zane</u>: Perhaps we could count a variable number of senators based on the population of students who are transferred.

<u>Michael</u>: How about we all create a slack thread restructuring this amendment, and restructure this amendment and deal with it at a later date.

Zane: By convention we try to pass things before we turn over, but we do not have to do it like that. I am upset.

Sam H: I am not too attached to this.

AMENDMENT 9: RESOLUTIONS VOTING

<u>Sam B</u>: I previously put this out.

<u>Michael</u>: Resolutions should be open to all members and it must be heard in a Senate meeting, voting will be heard through an open form.

AMENDMENT 10: MARKETING LIAISONS

<u>Sam B</u>: This will create marketing liaisons under the COO who will assist in their new duties. They will be hired as staff members. The COO would have a say.

AMENDMENT 11: COO RESTRUCTURING

<u>Sam B</u>: COO stipend goes down, VPSA takes responsibilities of the bubble, \$600 stipend, CFO takes over contracts, COO takes over social media.

AMENDMENT 12: THE OMNIBUS

Michael: Made an adjustment that the Senate pushed for.

AMENDMENT 13: ELECTION FUNDING

Michael: No campaign spending is allowed. Equity issue.

<u>Maya</u>: If people don't have money to campaign, this will become a popularity issue as it will help with education and sharing information.

AMENDMENT 14: OFFICER STIPEND LIMITS

Katherine: Doubling the stipend of the President, this is reverting to the way it was originally paid.



This is difficult, the pay was not matching the work that I did. To be president you have to quit everything else on campus, I depend on the money. This is helpful for low income students. I work 40+ hours a week. This merits a stipend close to the RAs. It passed the senate.

<u>Desmond</u>: This is not budget neutral. This is such a large increase, we might need to increase budgeting. Be aware that this is a cost. Only a portion of this would spill over. We would have to reset the cushion, but not by a drastic amount.

VOTING DONE ON SLACK.

<u>Katherine</u>: Non Voting members are allowed to use emojis to communicate their sentiments, they are not allowed to vote on slack. Non Voting members do have a say. Especially because we did not have such a thorough discussion. Thank you everyone for sticking through this long discussion.

VIII. Open Forum

Room Retention with DT:

<u>DT:</u> I appreciate all the feedback that I have received. We have a proposal that we would like you to consider. We would allow anyone in a triple or Alexan Kendry to retain. The density in AK will be 2 people in a 1 bedroom or 3 people in a two bedroom. Advantage of retaining in AK would be permitting students to leave their belongings in AK over the summer, and also allow students to lease the rooms over the summer for very cheap. We are working on filling AK voluntarily as much as possible.

We are thinking about allowing first years in North Quad to retain. If we do not do this, there will be very few sophomores in the north quad. This will make class distribution a bit more even. Each room would have to be retained.

The other option would be no room retention, or first year students across the board can retain.

One of the concerns as well is that any student living in AK would have priority with room draw. How do we maintain evenness of distribution while allowing options. I will be having a more in depth conversation with some Exec members.

Sam H: I am leaning to let first years retain. It is hard for first years to get rooms during room retention.



JI: As a current AK resident, lots of students do tend to enjoy their experience thus far. Students who have opted to stay in AK for this next semester, they want to stay in AK or move to senior apartments. The juniors in AK are not very concerned about having options, especially because of the consensus. I think for first year students they should be able to retain because of the difficult transition.

<u>Sam B</u>: If we allow first years in North to retain, we should allow all first years to retain. I don't think freshman retaining would take the 200 spots seniors usually try to take excluding senior apartments.

<u>DT</u>: We would love to run room draw earlier, so I am hoping to have one more conversation about this, then the decision will be made soon. I am not eager to see this as a school wide survey, there are too many nuances.

Katherine: We will have an Exec Board dinner after Spring Break. We did not forget about you.

Michael: I will send out all amendments when I get back to my dorm.

Kimi: Come volunteer and play with puppies on March 6th.

<u>Sam B</u>: Set the example for dining.

Katherine: Thank you for this incredible year. I enjoyed working with you.

Motion to adjourn: Amari Huang Seconded: Desmond Mantle Time ended: 9:33 pm PDT